

ScienceDirect



Shift and adapt: the costs and benefits of karyotype variations Aleeza C Gerstein^{1,2,3} and Judith Berman^{1,2}



Variation is the spice of life or, in the case of evolution, variation is the necessary material on which selection can act to enable adaptation. Karyotypic variation in ploidy (the number of homologous chromosome sets) and aneuploidy (imbalance in the number of chromosomes) are fundamentally different than other types of genomic variants. Karyotypic variation emerges through different molecular mechanisms than other mutational events, and unlike mutations that alter the genome at the base pair level, rapid reversion to the wild type chromosome number is often possible. Although karyotypic variation has long been noted and discussed by biologists, interest in the importance of karyotypic variants in evolutionary processes has spiked in recent years, and much remains to be discovered about how karyotypic variants are produced and subsequently selected.

Addresses

¹ Department of Molecular Microbiology and Biotechnology, George S. Wise Faculty of Life Sciences, Tel Aviv University, Ramat Aviv 69978, Israel

² Department of Molecular, Cellular, Developmental Biology and Genetics, College of Biological Sciences, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN, USA

Corresponding author: Berman, Judith (jberman@post.tau.ac.il)

³ Current address: Department of Microbiology, Medical School, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN, USA.

Current Opinion in Microbiology 2015, 26:130-136

This review comes from a themed issue on $\ensuremath{\textbf{Host}}\xspace-\ensuremath{\textbf{microbe}}\xspace$ interactions: fungi

Edited by Deborah A Hogan and Amy S Gladfelter

For a complete overview see the Issue and the Editorial

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.mib.2015.06.010

1369-5274/© 2015 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Introduction

Fungal microbes have emerged as important taxa to study the effect of karyotypic variation on phenotype and fitness (reviewed in [1]). Ploidy variation exists among, as well as within, fungal species and ploidy shifts continue to emerge in surprising places. Variation in ploidy among nuclei that share a common cytoplasm was recently reported in *Ashbya gossypii*, an otherwise haploid organism [2^{••}]. *Candida albicans*, long considered an obligate diploid, recently was found to generate haploids following passage through a mouse model, and following exposure to the antifungal drug fluconazole [3,4]. Similarly, rare tetraploids have been sampled from clinical isolates of C. albicans [5,6], and polyploid 'titan' cells were recently identified in the typically haploid basidiomycete Cryptococcus neoformans [7,8] (reviewed in [9]). Aneuploid variants also have been documented at appreciable frequencies in many fungal taxa in a diversity of circumstances: within the Saccharomyces cerevisiae deletion collection constructed by transformation [10], following drug stress in C. albicans [11] and C. neoformans [12], in meiotic spores of Cryptococcus lusitaniae [13] and through unisexual mating in *C. neoformans* [14[•]]. Furthermore, it remains possible that the true proportion of karyotypic variants is even higher than currently appreciated, as variants are rapidly eliminated ex vivo due to the growth conditions typically used to propagate cultures in the laboratory.

Here we focus on karyotypic variants that arise mitotically, within a single organism, rather than those formed through conventional means involving sexual conjugation followed by meiotic reductive divisions. We first discuss variations in whole genome ploidy level and then changes due to aneuploidy, focusing on the mechanisms that produced the variation and then on the degree to which they provide a selective advantage under different growth conditions.

Producing ploidy shifts

The mitotic mechanisms by which ploidy variants are produced are dependent on the direction of change. Increases occur through endoreplication, a process in which the genome replicates, but the cell containing it fails to complete mitosis and cytokinesis. Decreases in ploidy occur via a cryptic chromosome loss mechanism, often, if not always, through aneuploid intermediates [3,15,16]. There remains no known mitotic program to undertake a full euploid chromosome set reduction ('concerted chromosome loss' [17]), yet the rate at which some reductions in ploidy have been documented in the laboratory indicates that it cannot be attributed solely to selection acting on individual chromosome loss events. Ploidy transitions frequently occur within relatively short timescales; they are often detected following fitness assay tests (24-72 hours) and short-term experimental evolution passaging (<200 generations). Convergence towards the historical ploidy level has now been documented in S. cerevisiae [15,18,19[•]], C. albicans [3[•],16], C. tropicalis [20[•]], and C. neoformans (Gerstein, Fu et al., in review). The force behind this 'ploidy-drive' remains cryptic, as there have been few identified fitness differences between

ploidy-variant individuals sampled from the same population [21]. The presence of ploidy variants and the rate of ploidy transition is strongly influenced by the environment [2^{••},15,17], yet the link(s) between genotype, phenotype and fitness remain murky.

Does ploidy state provide a selective advantage?

An ongoing topic of debate in evolutionary biology is why one ploidy level should be beneficial over another. As all genomes are subjected to the same basic processes, why is there not a singular 'best' ploidy level employed across the tree of life? This question has been addressed using fungal microbes that can be manipulated to generate isogenic ploidy series, thereby distinguishing ploidy from differences in genetic background. Predictions generally fall into two categories: short-term influences of ploidy on ecological tolerance and survival, mediated through physiological differences; and long-term (evolutionary) influences of ploidy on the rate of adaptation, mediated through the genetic basis of beneficial mutations (discussed in detail in [22]).

Physiologically, ploidy is frequently correlated with differences in the cell surface area:volume (SA:V) ratio, which decreases as ploidy and cell size increase. Lower ploidy (and a higher SA:V ratio) is predicted to be beneficial under nutrient limitation, yet detrimental when toxins are present in the environment. Overall, these predictions received only mixed support from empirical tests, as highlighted by Zorgo *et al.* [23^{••}], who compared many paired haploid and diploid strains of S. cerevisiae and S. paradoxus. They found that although ploidy \times environment interactions were frequent and often evolutionarily conserved, there was not an overall growth advantage for diploids in toxins, or for haploids under nutrient limitation or environmental stress. Thus, no single catch-all explanation accounts for why one ploidy level is ecologically beneficial over another. This result may not be satisfying, but it likely reflects the reality of biology: a large number of factors usually influence growth and survival.

Recent technological advances, in particular flow cytometry coupled with next generation sequencing, now enable researchers to track the genetic basis of adaptation and to test the influence of ploidy on the mutations selected during adaptation. When beneficial mutations arise in diploids or polyploid individuals, their effects are often at least partially masked by the wild-type allele(s) that remain. Thus, haploids may be able to acquire a greater diversity of mutations (as in [24]) or to access different types of mutations. This was recently shown for lines adapting to nutrient limitation: beneficial loss-offunction mutations, which are often recessive, arose more frequently in haploid lines, while diploid lines were enriched for mutations that increase gene expression (and are likely at least partially expressed in heterozygous form, [25]). Thus haploids, which fully express all beneficial mutations, might be expected to adapt faster than diploids. However, depending on the environmental challenge, this may [26], or may not [19[•]], be the case. An increase in ploidy also increases the mutation rate [19[•]], and, if selection is strong, loss of heterozygosity can rapidly convert heterozygous mutations to a homozygous state [27[•]], thereby reducing the difference in the rate of adaptation between haploids (where the effect of recessive beneficial mutations are immediately felt) and higher ploidy levels. Finally, mutations may also have different effect sizes in different ploidy backgrounds, even when present in homozygous form [28]. Consistent with this idea, a recent genome-wide tour-de-force by Dunham and coworkers distinguished the effects of aneuploidy from those of mutations acquired in aneuploid strains using a clever system to generate extra copies of chromosome arm segments. They found that aneuploidies could provide adaptive advantages under specific environmental conditions and that the advantages were clearly related to the genes included on the aneuploid segments [29**]. Taken together, it appears that the relationship between ploidy and adaptation depends heavily on the environment and the particulars of the available mutations.

Slipping into (and out of) aneuploidy

An euploidy arises through nondisjunction of single, or a few, chromosomes, and provides a fundamentally different class of adaptive genomic change than point mutations (Figure 1). As chromosome nondisjunction occurs several orders of magnitude more frequently than point mutations, and aneuploidy is more prevalent than shorter range copy number variations, small insertions, or small deletions [30[•]], an euploidy provides a rapid mechanism to generate diversity upon which selection can act (Figure 1b). An euploidy may also act as a stepping-stone to other types of genic mutations if the environmental stress is maintained for many generations. Similar to changes in ploidy, aneuploidy may increase the rate that beneficial mutations can arise within the genome (Figure 1c), either on the chromosome that is an euploid, or through a general increase in genomic instability. If additional beneficial point mutations are then acquired, selection to maintain the aneuploidy will be lost. When the environmental stress is removed, aneuploidy also can be lost more rapidly than other genomic mutations, via a chromosome non-disjunction event (Figure 1d). Thus, aneuploidy provides a highly flexible mechanism to rapidly adapt to a changing environment.

Chromosomes in aneuploid cells are more prone to nondisjunction events than are chromosomes in euploid cells [16,31,32]. Thus, once the initial aneuploidy is present the rate with which additional aneuploidy events appear is increased. The rate of aneuploid formation also increases during stress exposure. Within the first 12–24 hours of drug exposure, over 20% of *C. albicans* cells undergo unusual mitotic divisions that yield tetraploids/heterokaryons that then frequently give rise to aneuploids and, rarely, haploids





Aneuploidy can be rapidly selected for or against in permissive environments (blue background) and stressful environments (pink background). **(a)** In a permissive environment the wild-type genotype is more fit (occupies a higher peak on the landscape) than either an aneuploid strain or a mutant strain. **(b)** When in a stress environment, the wild-type genotype is no longer the most fit. Multiple types of mutations can confer a fitness advantage, including a particular [4,33^{••}]. Hsp90 may also play an important role in aneuploid formation under stress conditions, as higher chromosome non-disjunction rates have been observed under stressors that demand increased levels of Hsp90 and that compromise kinetochore assembly [34]. Thus, extreme stress can lead to unconventional mitotic mechanisms of ploidy change under strong selective pressure that inhibits the growth of the original parent [33^{••}]. Taken together, the appearance of aneuploids appears to be a natural consequence of cell cycle progression defects caused by stress itself, reminiscent of fitness associated recombination [35], stress-induced mutagenesis in bacteria (reviewed in [36]) and stress-induced loss of heterozygosity in yeasts [37].

Aneuploidy and stress: is the benefit worth the cost?

Aneuploidy has the potential to affect phenotypic variation on both a general and specific level. A general signature of an uploidy that is independent of the specific chromosome(s) involved has been proposed to involve slower progression through G1-phase [38,39] and elevated levels of proteotoxic stress relative to euploids [40]. Many aneuploid S. cerevisiae strains exhibit this feature, albeit to different degrees, but some do not [38[•]]. Alterations in mRNA and protein levels generally correspond to the alterations in DNA copy number [41-44], though this effect may be buffered for certain genes and/or strain backgrounds [45] or be mitigated by post-translational regulatory effects on unlinked genes and their products [46]. Specific phenotypes linked to extra gene copies are often evident, though the effect of an uploidy may be realized by the combined influence of multiple copy number changes [29^{••},47]. While the majority of possible aneuploidies likely have deleterious phenotypes (reviewed in [48]), selection should efficiently eliminate these deleterious aneuploidies from large populations, thereby enabling beneficial aneuploidies to remain.

If a favourable genotype can be acquired through aneuploidy, this may be expected to be a frequent route to adaptation, due to the higher rate of aneuploid formation relative to other types of mutations. Indeed, a high rate of beneficial aneuploidy has been identified under glucose limitation [49], drug stress [50], copper adaptation [51], adaptation to sulphate limitation [18,29^{••}] and as a solution for $gal7\Delta$ strains that require a beneficial mutation to grow in galactose [52^{••}]. Furthermore, aneuploidy appears to be

aneuploidy and a genic mutation. The width of the arrows indicates the mutational frequency; aneuploidy arises more frequently than any particular genic mutation. (c) If the stress environment is maintained over time, aneuploidy can act as a bridge to genic mutations. The mutation rate is increased in aneuploids, due to the extra chromosome copy, and the aneuploidy can be easily lost once a beneficial mutation arises. (d) If the environment returns to being permissive, reversion of an aneuploid chromosome via chromosome gain or loss can occur with high frequency. Reversion of genic mutations is a much rarer event. a more common event in diploids than in haploids [18], perhaps because the deleterious effects of an extra gene copy are less dramatic for diploids than for haploids. The propensity for specific aneuploidies to be selected as a result of specific environmental pressures has been exploited to measure chromosome gain rates in different genetic backgrounds [53]. The reliable production of an euploids can also be exploited to set an 'evolutionary trap', by use of a drug that first enriches the population for a particular aneuploidy and a second drug that specifically inhibits the growth of cells that carry this aneuploidy [54**]. As a general principle, aneuploidies are likely to incur a cost in non-selective environments, with the magnitude of this cost (and thus the stability of the aneuploidy) dependent on interaction between the environment, genes that are present in the aneuploidy, and the genetic background [44,51,55,56].

The degree of stress, independent of the environment, may also play a role in selecting for or against an euplodies. Indeed, the degree to which fitness varied among otherwise isogenic an euploid strains of *S. cerevisiae* scaled with the degree of stress across a range of conditions, suggesting that there may be a functional relationship between the degree of stress applied and the degree of phenotypic variation (including beneficial variation) [54^{••}]. This has important implications for the rapeutic strategies, as it suggests that more severe drug treatments may stimulate more population diversity and may lead to progeny with a higher potential to adapt to the drug. The reverse may also be true, however, as aneuploidy may be seen less frequently under stressful conditions in multinucleate filamentous fungi [2^{••}].

As a result of both the general cost of aneuploidy and the specific chromosome × environment trade-offs, aneuploidy is likely to be a temporary evolutionary solution, until more stable and specific genetic solutions arise. For example, S. cerevisiae cells that evolved evolved under mild temperature stress for 450 generations first acquired an extra first acquired an extra copy of Chr3, which facilitated faster growth at elevated temperature [57**]. Later, following further passage under elevated temperature, the aneuploidy was lost. A phenomenon reminiscent of this appeared in a series of C. albicans clinical isolates from patients, where Chr5 aneuploidy often preceded the emergence of highly drug-resistant variants [1,58^{••}]. As an euploidy is easily reversible, it may also function as a bet-hedging mechanism for toggling between two phenotypic states, as was seen for colony morphology phenotypes of S. cerevisiae isolates [2^{••},59[•]].

Conclusion

We propose that karyotypic variation can be both a nonselected cause and an adaptive response to stress that enables phenotypic variation to appear rapidly within populations. This is demonstrated by the response of *C. albicans* in the presence of the antifungal drug fluconazole: aneuploidy arises as a consequence of exposure to the drug, which stimulates the formation of multinucleate/tetraploid cells that produce an euploid cells at a high rate $[3^{\circ},4,33^{\circ\circ}]$. Specific forms of an euploidy are beneficial under fluconazole stress, and thus are maintained as a result of selection [12,60]. Importantly, karyotypic variants tend to be easily reversible, and thus under unstable environmental conditions they can rapidly appear and disappear from populations.

Karyotypic variants do not always incur a high fitness cost and relatively stable variants have been found during short and long term laboratory experimental evolution experiments, in a number of series of isolates from patients, and in nature [18,29^{••},44,61–63]. However, due to their transient nature, sampling results and clinical sampling practices may under-estimate the true number of karyotypic variants. Similarly, in laboratory evolution experiments we likely miss a large degree of variation by focusing efforts primarily on the last, usually arbitrary, time-point. Finally, it is important to note that the majority of evolution experiments with S. cerevisiae have used haploid isolates that can undergo only chromosome gains, but not chromosome losses, and that may be less tolerant of additional chromosome copies than diploids. Not only is the appearance of an euploidy likely to be more frequent in diploids or polyploids than in haploids [18], but an euploidy well may have different fitness consequences as well [19[•]].

In conclusion, ploidy and aneuploidy variants have the potential to arise frequently within populations, and may facilitate rapid adaptation. The emerging view is that the influence of aneuploidy is context dependent, and the fitness consequences depend on all aspects of a given circumstance: the environment, the genetic background, and the genes that are present in aneuploidy.

We apologize to the authors of many important studies whose work we did not have the space to discuss due to the concise nature of this article format.

Acknowledgements

We thank Anna Selmecki for helpful discussions. This work was supported by the People Programme (Marie Curie Actions) of the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013) REA grant agreement number 303635; by an European Research Council Advanced Award, number 340087, RAPLODAPT, grants from the Israel Science foundation (340/13.), and by the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Disease (R01AI075096 and R01AI0624273) to JB. ACG was supported by fellowship awards from the National Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada, the Canadian Institutes for Health Research, and the Azrieli Foundation.

References and recommended reading

Papers of particular interest, published within the period of review, have been highlighted as:

- of special interest
- •• of outstanding interest
- Albertin W, Marullo P: Polyploidy in fungi: evolution after wholegenome duplication. Proc R Soc B Biol Sci 2012, 279:2497-2509.

- Anderson CA, Roberts S, Zhang H, Kelly CM, Kendall A, Lee C, Gerstenberger J, Koenig AB, Kabeche R, Gladfelter AS: **Ploidy** 2.
- •• variation in multinucleate cells changes under stress. Mol Biol Cell 2015, 26:1129-1140.

This paper shows the surprising result that ploidy varies from 1N to 4N among nuclei sharing a common cytoplasm in the typically haploid multinucleate fungus *Ashbya gossypii*. The degree of ploidy variation was shown to increase with cell age and surprisingly, to decrease under stress

- 3.
- Hickman MA, Zeng G, Forche A, Hirakawa MP, Abbey D, Harrison BD, Wang Y-M, Su C-H, Bennett RJ, Wang Y *et al.*: **The "obligate diploid"** *Candida albicans* forms mating-competent haploids. Nature 2013, 494:55-59.

The first paper to demonstrate that C. albicans can form relatively-stable haploids that undergo morphological changes and mate like homozygous diploids.

- Chang F-M, Ou T-Y, Cheng W-N, Chou M-L, Lee K-C, Chin Y-P, Lin C-P, Chang K-D, Lin C-T, Su C-H: Short-term exposure to 4. fluconazole induces chromosome loss in Candida albicans: an approach to produce haploid cells. Fungal Genet Biol 2014, 70:68-76.
- Marr KA, Lyons CN, Ha K, Rustad TR, White TC: Inducible azole 5. resistance associated with a heterogeneous phenotype in Candida albicans. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2001, 45:52-59.
- Abbey DA, Funt J, Lurie-Weinberger MN, Thompson DA, Regev A, 6. Myers CL, Berman J: YMAP: a pipeline for visualization of copy number variation and loss of heterozygosity in eukaryotic pathogens. Genome Med 2014, 6:1-15.
- Okagaki LH, Wang Y, Ballou ER, O'Meara TR, Bahn YS, Alspaugh JA, Xue C, Nielsen K: Cryptococcal titan cell formation 7. is regulated by G-protein signaling in response to multiple stimuli. Eukaryot Cell 2011, 10:1306-1316.
- Zaragoza O, García-Rodas R, Nosanchuk JD, Cuenca-Estrella M, Rodríguez-Tudela JL, Casadevall A: **Fungal cell gigantism during mammalian infection**. *PLoS Pathog* 2010, **6**:e1000945. 8.
- Morrow CA, Fraser JA: Ploidy variation as an adaptive 9. mechanism in human pathogenic fungi. Semin Cell Dev Biol 2013, 24:339-346
- 10. Hughes TR, Roberts CJ, Dai HY, Jones AR, Meyer MR, Slade D, Burchard J, Dow S, Ward TR, Kidd MJ et al.: Widespread aneuploidy revealed by DNA microarray expression profiling Nat Genet 2000, 25:333-337.
- 11. Selmecki A. Forche A. Berman J: Aneuploidy and isochromosome formation in drug-resistant Candida albicans. Science 2006. 313:367-370.
- 12. Sionov E, Lee H, Chang YC, Kwon-Chung KJ: Cryptococcus neoformans overcomes stress of azole drugs by formation of disomy in specific multiple chromosomes. PLoS Pathog 2010, 6:e1000848.
- 13. Reedy JL, Floyd AM, Heitman J: Mechanistic plasticity of sexual reproduction and meiosis in the candida pathogenic species complex. Curr Biol 2009, 19:891-899.
- 14. Ni M, Feretzaki M, Li W, Floyd-Averette A, Mieczkowski P, Dietrich FS, Heitman J: PLOS biology: unisexual and heterosexual meiotic reproduction generate aneuploidy and phenotypic diversity de novo in the yeast Cryptococcus neoformans. PLoS Biol 2013, 11:e1001653.

This paper demonstrates that unisexual reproduction in C. neoformans frequently generates aneuploid progeny with a high degree of phenotypic variability.

- 15. Gerstein AC, Chun H-JE, Grant A, Otto SP: Genomic convergence toward diploidy in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. PLoS Genet 2006. 2:e145.
- 16. Hickman MA, Paulson C, Dudley AM, Berman J: Parasexual ploidy reduction drives population heterogeneity through random and transient aneuploidy in *Candida albicans*. *Genetics* 2015 http://dx.doi.org/10.1534/genetics.115.178020.
- 17. Bennett RJ, Johnson AD: Completion of a parasexual cycle in Candida albicans by induced chromosome loss in tetraploid strains. EMBO J 2003, 22:2502-2515.

- 18. Gresham D, Desai MM, Tucker CM, Jeng HT, Pai DA, Ward A, Desevo CG, Botstein D, Dunham MJ: The repertoire and dynamics of evolutionary adaptations to controlled nutrientlimited environments in yeast. PLoS Genet 2008, 4:e1000303.
- 19. Selmecki AM, Maruvka YE, Richmond PA, Guillet M, Shoresh N,
- Sorenson AL, De S, Kishony R, Michor F, Dowell R et al.: Polyploidy can drive rapid adaptation in yeast. Nature 2015 http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature14187.

Initially isogenic haploid, diploid and tetraploid strains of S. cerevisiae were grown in a raffinose and tetraploid strains adapted faster than haploid or diploid strains. This was attributable to both an increased mutation rate and the availability of mutations of higher effect size.

Seervai RNH, Jones SK, Hirakawa MP, Porman AM, Bennett RJ: **Parasexuality and ploidy change in** *Candida tropicalis***.** *Eukaryot Cell* **2013, 12**:1629-1640. 20

This study describes the ploidy reduction process during the C. tropicalis parasexual cvcle.

- 21. Gerstein AC, Otto SP: Cryptic fitness advantage: diploids invade haploid populations despite lacking any apparent advantage as measured by standard fitness assays. PLoS ONE 2011, 6:e26599.
- 22. Gerstein AC, Otto SP: Ploidy and the causes of genomic evolution. J Hered 2009, 100:571-581.
- Zörgö E, Chwialkowska K, Gjuvsland AB, Garré E, Sunnerhagen P,
 Liti G, Blomberg A, Omholt SW, Warringer J: Ancient evolutionary
- trade-offs between yeast ploidy states. PLoS Genet 2013, 9:e1003388

The authors conducted an extensive comparison of haploid and diploid performance across a large number of environmental challenges. Impor-tantly, although the authors show significant differences between haploids and diploids in the majority of environments, these differences did not always correspond to often-cited hypotheses about the types of environments where haploids or diploids are predicted to have an advantage.

- 24. Anderson J, Sirjusingh C: Haploidy, diploidy and evolution of antifungal drug resistance in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Genetics 2004, 168:1915-1923.
- 25. Payen C, Sunshine AB, Ong GT, Pogachar JL, Zhao W, Dunham MJ: Empirical determinants of adaptive mutations in yeast experimental evolution. bioRxiv 2015 http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/ 014068
- 26. Gerstein AC, Cleathero LA, Mandegar MA, Otto SP: Haploids adapt faster than diploids across a range of environments. J Evol Biol 2010 http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1420-9101.2010.02188.x.
- 27. Gerstein AC, Kuzmin A, Otto SP: Loss-of-heterozygosity facilitates passage through Haldane's sieve for Saccharomyces cerevisiae undergoing adaptation. Nat Commun 2014, 5:1-9.

This study demonstrates that beneficial recessive heterozygous mutations can rapidly homozygose, thereby reducing the difference in the rate of adaptation between haploids (where the full benefit of recessive mutations is felt) and diploids (where the benefit of a recessive heterozyogus mutation is masked by the remaining wildtype allele).

- 28. Gerstein AC: Mutational effects depend on ploidy level: all else is not equal. Biol Lett 2012 http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/ rsbl.2012.0614.
- 29. Sunshine AB, Payen C, Ong GT, Liachko I, Tan KM, Dunham MJ: The fitness consequences of aneuploidy are driven by condition-dependent gene effects. PLoS Biol 2015, 13:e1002155.

This study analyzed aneuploid isolates that had been evolved in nutrientlimiting conditions. They found that the majority of the aneuploid isolates improved cellular fitness, had large fitness effect sizes and that their effect on fitness had different effects in different growth conditions. In addition, the authors developed a method to generate minichromosomes carrying an extra copy of chromosome segments from a selected gene to the telomere and they analyzed the effect of these artificially produced aneuploids on fitness measured as cell growth in batch cultures. Here as well, the aneuploids had large effects that depended upon the environmental conditions. Importantly, they were able to show that most of the effects, both positive and negative, were driven by a few genes on the minichromosome.

 Zhu YO, Siegal ML, Hall DW, Petrov DA: Precise estimates of mutation rate and spectrum in yeast. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2014, 111:E2310-E2318.

This comprehensive mutation accumulation study in S. *cerevisiae* identified and characterized approximately 1000 mutational events that arose within 145 diploid lines, each evolved for an average of ${\sim}2000$ asexual generations.

- Bouchonville K, Forche A, Tang KES, Selmecki A, Berman J: Aneuploid chromosomes are highly unstable during DNA transformation of *Candida albicans*. *Eukaryot Cell* 2009, 8:1554-1566.
- Sheltzer JM, Blank HM, Pfau SJ, Tange Y, George BM, Humpton TJ, Brito IL, Hiraoka Y, Niwa O, Amon A: Aneuploidy drives genomic instability in yeast. *Science* 2011, 333:1026-1030.
- 33. Harrison BD, Hashemi J, Bibi M, Pulver R, Bavli D, Nahmias Y,
- Wellington M, Sapiro G, Berman J: A tetraploid intermediate precedes aneuploid formation in yeasts exposed to fluconazole. PLoS Biol 2014, 12:e1001815.

This paper analyzed the effect of fluconazole on *C. albicans* cells by flow cytometry and time-lapse microscopy. They found that fluconazole caused a delay in cell cycle progression and the formation of 'trimera' cells with three cell compartments and four nuclei. Trimeras produced tetraploid progeny that subsequently gave rise to aneuploids. The entire process occurred within 12–24 hours and involved at least 10–20% of the population, providing an explanation for why aneuploids are often seen in drug-treated isolates.

- Chen G, Bradford WD, Seidel CW, Li R: Hsp90 stress potentiates rapid cellular adaptation through induction of aneuploidy. *Nature* 2012 http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature10795.
- Hadany L, Beker T: Fitness-associated recombination on rugged adaptive landscapes. J Evol Biol 2003, 16:862-870.
- Galhardo RS, Hastings PJ, Rosenberg SM: Mutation as a stress response and the regulation of evolvability. *Crit Rev Biochem Mol Biol* 2007, 42:399-435.
- Forche A, Abbey D, Pisithkul T, Weinzierl MA, Ringstrom T, Bruck D, Petersen K, Berman J: Stress alters rates and types of loss of heterozygosity in *Candida albicans*. mBio 2011, 2:e00129-11.
- 38. Thorburn RR, Gonzalez C, Brar GA, Christen S, Carlile TM,

 Ingolia NT, Sauer U, Weissman JS, Amon A: Aneuploid yeast strains exhibit defects in cell growth and passage through START. Mol Biol Cell 2013, 24:1274-1289.

This paper found that 10/14 an euploid strains of *S. cerevisiae* exhibited a growth defect during G1, attributable to a delayed accumulation of G1 cyclins.

- Rancati G, Pavelka N: Karyotypic changes as drivers and catalyzers of cellular evolvability: a perspective from non-pathogenic yeasts. Semin Cell Dev Biol 2013, 24:332-338.
- Torres EM, Sokolsky T, Tucker CM, Chan LY, Boselli M, Dunham MJ, Amon A: Effects of aneuploidy on cellular physiology and cell division in haploid yeast. Science 2007, 317:916-924.
- Springer M, Weissman JS, Kirschner MW: A general lack of compensation for gene dosage in yeast. *Mol Syst Biol* 2010, 6:368.
- 42. Torres EM, Dephoure N, Panneerselvam A, Tucker CM, Whittaker CA, Gygi SP, Dunham MJ, Amon A: Identification of aneuploidy-tolerating mutations. *Cell* 2010, **143**:71-83.
- **43.** Pavelka N, Rancati G, Zhu J, Bradford WD, Saraf A, Florens L, Sanderson BW, Hattem GL, Li R: **Aneuploidy confers quantitative proteome changes and phenotypic variation in budding yeast**. *Nature* 2010, **468**:321-325.
- Hirakawa MP, Martinez DA, Sakthikumar S, Anderson MZ, Berlin A, Gujja S, Zeng Q, Zisson E, Wang JM, Greenberg JM et al.: Genetic and phenotypic intra-species variation in Candida albicans. Genome Res 2015, 25:413-425.
- Hose J, Yong CM, Sardi M, Wang Z, Newton MA, Gasch AP: Dosage compensation can buffer copy-number variation in wild yeast. *eLife* 2015, 4.

- Dephoure N, Hwang S, O'Sullivan C, Dodgson SE, Gygi SP, Amon A, Torres EM: Quantitative proteomic analysis reveals posttranslational responses to aneuploidy in yeast. *eLife* 2014, 3:e03023.
- Bonney ME, Moriya H, Amon A: Aneuploid proliferation defects in yeast are not driven by copy number changes of a few dosage-sensitive genes. *Genes Dev* 2015, 29:898-903.
- Siegel JJ, Amon A: New insights into the troubles of aneuploidy. Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol 2012, 28:189-214.
- Dunham MJ, Badrane H, Ferea T: Characteristic genome rearrangements in experimental evolution of Saccharomyces cerevisiae. PNAS 2002, 99:16144-16149.
- Selmecki A, Gerami-Nejad M, Paulson C, Forche A, Berman J: An isochromosome confers drug resistance in vivo by amplification of two genes, ERG11 and TAC1. Mol Microbiol 2008, 68:624-641.
- Gerstein AC, Ono J, Lo DS, Campbell ML, Kuzmin A, Otto SP: Too much of a good thing: the unique and repeated paths toward copper adaptation. *Genetics* 2015, 199:555-571.
- Sirr A, Cromie GA, Jeffery EW, Gilbert TL, Ludlow CL, Scott AC,
 Dudley AM: Allelic variation, aneuploidy and non-genetic mechanisms suppress a monogenic trait in yeast. *Genetics* 2014 http://dx.doi.org/10.1534/genetics.114.170563/-/DC1.

2014 http://dx.doi.org/10.1534/genetics.114.170563/-/DC1. This study developed a new method, FACS-QTL to more easily isolate large numbers of haploid progeny for bulk segregant analysis. Progeny that grew under selective conditions were analyzed by RAD-seq to identify regions of biased inheritance. One of the unexpected results was that aneuploidy was a frequent (51% of progeny) mechanism for suppression of a mutant phenotype. Furthermore, aneuploid chromosomes carrying specific alleles of the relevant gene were present in biased ratios. This all supports the idea that aneuploidy provides a selective advantage primarily due to the extra copies of specific genes or combinations of genes present on the aneuploid chromosomes.

- Covo S, Puccia CM, Argueso JL, Gordenin DA, Resnick MA: The sister chromatid cohesion pathway suppresses multiple chromosome gain and chromosome amplification. *Genetics* 2014, 196:373-384.
- 54. Chen G, Mulla WA, Kucharavy A, Tsai H-J, Rubinstein B,
- Conkright J, McCroskey S, Bradford WD, Weems L, Haug JS et al.: Targeting the adaptability of heterogeneous aneuploids. Cell 2015, 160:771-784.

Some diseases or pathogens often develop resistance to a drug via a specific aneuploidy. In this study, the authors screened for drugs that specifically affect these drug-resistant aneuploids (and not euploids), to design an 'evolutionary trap' strategy for combination drug therapies using yeast and mammalian examples.

- Hill JA, O'Meara TR, Cowen LE: Fitness trade-offs associated with the evolution of resistance to antifungal drug combinations. *Cell Rep* 2015, 10:809-819.
- Semighini CP, Averette AF, Perfect JR, Heitman J: Deletion of Cryptococcus neoformans AIF ortholog promotes chromosome aneuploidy and fluconazole-resistance in a metacaspaseindependent manner. PLoS Pathog 2011, 7:e1002364.
- 57. Yona AH, Manor YS, Herbst RH, Romano GH, Mitchell A,
- Kupiec M, Pilpel Y, Dahan O: Chromosomal duplication is a transient evolutionary solution to stress. Proc Natl Acad Sci US A 2012, 109:21010-21015.

This manuscript describes the evolution of high temperature tolerance in bakers' yeast and finds that aneuploidy of chromosome III provides a transient beneficial solution that is eventually displaced by other mutations.

- 58. Ford CB, Funt JM, Abbey D, Issi L, Guiducci C, Martinez DA,
 Delorey T, Li BY, White TC, Cuomo C et al.: The evolution of drug
- Delorely 1, LLB1, while 1C, Cuono C et al., the evolution of an resistance in clinical isolates of Candida albicans. eLife 2015, 4:e00662.

This large scale study of different *Candida albicans* isolates collected over time from the same individual patients determined that some genes are found to be mutated in isolates from different patients and to persist within later isolates from the same patients. In contrast, aneuploidies appeared transiently but did not persist over time. Because these isolates are not necessarily direct descendents of one another, it will be important for future studies to analyze multiple independent isolates from the same patient at each time point. 59. Tan Z, Hays M, Cromie GA, Jeffery EW, Scott AC, Ahyong V, Sirr A,
Skupin A, Dudley AM: Aneuploidy underlies a multicellular phenotypic switch. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2013, 110:12367-12372.

This study demonstrates that aneuploidy is responsible for a rapid morphological switch in *S. cerevisiae* between wild-type smooth colonies and complex "fluffly" colonies composed of more filamentous cells.

- Selmecki AM, Dulmage K, Cowen LE, Anderson JB, Berman J: Acquisition of aneuploidy provides increased fitness during the evolution of antifungal drug resistance. *PLoS Genet* 2009, 5:e1000705.
- 61. Hope EA, Dunham MJ: Ploidy-regulated variation in biofilmrelated phenotypes in natural isolates of Saccharomyces cerevisiae. G3 (Bethesda) 2014, 4:1773-1786.
- Wohlbach DJ, Rovinskiy N, Lewis JA, Sardi M, Schackwitz WS, Martin JA, Deshpande S, Daum CG, Lipzen A, Sato TK et al.: Comparative genomics of Saccharomyces cerevisiae natural isolates for bioenergy production. Genome Biol Evol 2014, 6:2557-2566.
- Ezov TK, Boger-Nadjar E, Frenkel Z, Katsperovski I, Kemeny S, Nevo E, Korol A, Kashi Y: Molecular-genetic biodiversity in a natural population of the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae from "Evolution Canyon": microsatellite polymorphism, ploidy and controversial sexual status. Genetics 2006, 174:1455-1468.